



**AGENDA ITEM (10)**

**REVISED SCHEME OF DELEGATION**

<b>Ward Member(s)</b>	Councillor MGE MacKenzie-Charrington Cabinet Member for Planning Service and Cirencester Car Parking Project
<b>Accountable Officer</b>	Kevin Field Planning and Development Manager 01285 623000 kevin.field@cotswold.gov.uk

<b>Purpose of Report</b>	To consider a revised version of the Scheme of Delegation relating to the Planning and Licensing Committee.
<b>Recommendation(s)</b>	<b>That the revised Scheme of Delegation relating to the Planning and Licensing Committee be approved and adopted.</b>
<b>Reason(s) for Recommendation(s)</b>	To promote the effective working of the Development Management and Heritage and Design Services, in partnership with Ward Members and Town/Parish Councils, and to ensure that the decision-making process is transparent and unbiased.

<b>Ward(s) Affected</b>	All
<b>Key Decision</b>	No
<b>Recommendation to Council</b>	No

<b>Financial Implications</b>	Delegation of decisions to the appropriate levels ensures effective service delivery and thereby enables effective control of costs
<b>Legal and Human Rights Implications</b>	None
<b>Environmental and Sustainability Implications</b>	None
<b>Human Resource Implications</b>	None
<b>Key Risks</b>	None
<b>Equalities Analysis</b>	No effect on protected groups identified

<b>Related Decisions</b>	
<b>Background Documents</b>	Scheme of Delegation - 13 <sup>th</sup> December 2017
<b>Appendices</b>	None

<b>Performance Management Follow Up</b>	To update and publish the revised Scheme of Delegation, as approved
---	---

<b>Options for Joint Working</b>	Not applicable
----------------------------------	----------------

<p><b>Background Information</b></p> <p>1. The current Scheme of Delegation was approved and adopted by the Planning and Licensing Committee at its Meeting on 13<sup>th</sup> December 2017.</p> <p>2. The Scheme is the subject of continuous scrutiny to ensure it remains effective and relevant to changing circumstance and, as a result, minor revision is now suggested. The change recommended relates to the title to Section 3.1 and the introduction of an additional caveat 3.1(viii) which will propose wording similar in its effect to that which currently forms Section 3.2(vii). The suggested changes are highlighted in bold text below.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• <i>3.1 All applications for planning permissions, or consents, including Listed Building consent; TPO applications, <b>Permissions in Principle, Technical Details Consent</b> )</i></li> <li>• <i>3.2(viii) <b>if a Permission in Principle application or Technical Details Consent cannot be brought to Planning and Licensing Committee due to time constraints, the application/Consent should be the subject of consultation with the Ward Member(s) and the Chairman (Vice-Chairman). The final decision lies with the Chairman (Vice-Chairman).</b></i></li> </ul> <p>3. From 1<sup>st</sup> June 2018, it will be possible to apply for Permissions in Principle (PIPs) for small scale residential development. Details of these permissions will be maintained on the brownfield land registers (introduced last year with Council-led Permissions in Principle). If PIP is granted, then a developer will need to submit details for a Technical Details Consent, similar to a reserved matters application.</p> <p>The expectation is that PIPs will provide a means to establish the principle of development without the expenditure required for full applications. In order to be subject to the PIPs provisions, developments would have to be less than 10 dwellings, have a floor space of less than 1000 square metres or comprise sites under 1 hectare in area.</p> <p>The timeframe for determination of PIP applications will be 5 weeks and there will be a right of appeal for the refusal of permission. When PIP is granted a Technical Details Consent will be required within 3 years of the decision date. The fee for a PIPs application will be £402 per 0.1 hectare</p> <p>Officers do not anticipate a significant number of PIPs applications. However, given the timeframe for determination, it is likely referral to Committee will not always be an option.</p> <p>4. The government has indicated that further guidance will be published in due course and this is currently still awaited.</p>	
---	--

(END)